

1 THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR
2
3
4
5
6

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 DONTE McCLELLON,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 WELLS FARGO ADVISORS FINANCIAL

14 NETWORK, LLC (CRD#:11025), *et al.*,

15 Defendants.

16 CASE NO. C18-0852-JCC

17 MINUTE ORDER

18 The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable John C.
19 Coughenour, United States District Judge:

20 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (Dkt. No.
21 38) of the Court's order denying Plaintiff's motion to vacate the Court's judgment dismissing his
22 claims (Dkt. No. 37).

23 Motions for reconsideration are generally disfavored. W.D. Wash. Local Civ. R. 7(h)(1).
24 Reconsideration is only appropriate where there is "manifest error in the prior ruling or a
25 showing of new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to [the Court's]
26 attention earlier with reasonable diligence." *Id.* "A motion for reconsideration should not be
used to ask the court to rethink what the court had already thought through—rightly or
wrongly." *Premier Harvest LLC v. AXIS Surplus Insurance Co.*, No. C17-0784-JCC, Dkt. No.

1 61 at 1 (W.D. Wash. 2017) (quoting *U.S. v. Rezzonico*, 32 F. Supp. 2d 1112, 1116 (D. Ariz.
2 1998)).

3 Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration neither points to a manifest error in the Court's
4 prior ruling nor provides new facts that could not have been brought to the Court's attention with
5 reasonable diligence. Plaintiff merely provides additional information and reasons for why the
6 Court should not have denied his prior motion to vacate. Plaintiff has not met the standard for
7 reconsideration, and his motion (Dkt. No. 32) is DENIED.

8 DATED this 7th day of March 2019.

9 William M. McCool
10 Clerk of Court

11 s/Tomas Hernandez
12 Deputy Clerk